



Appeal Decision

Site visit made on 25 April 2023

by D J Barnes MBA BSc(Hons) DipTP MRTPI

an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government

Decision date: 17th May 2023

Appeal Ref: APP/M5450/D/23/3318139

203 Whitchurch Lane, Edgware, Harrow HA8 6QT

- The appeal is made under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against a refusal to grant planning permission.
 - The appeal is made by Mr Shayesta Noor against the decision of the Council of the London Borough of Harrow.
 - The application Ref P/4059/22, dated 28 November 2022, was refused by notice dated 23 January 2023.
 - The development proposed is the erection of a front porch; single storey side extension; two storey side to rear extension; single storey rear extension and external alterations (demolition of rear extension and detached garage).
-

Decision

1. The appeal is dismissed.

Main Issue

2. It is considered that the main issue is the effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the host property and the surrounding area.

Reasons

3. The appeal property is a semi-detached dwelling which has been altered by roof extensions comprising a change from a hip to gable roof form and the erection of a rear dormer. The appellant claims that the roof alterations were erected as permitted development and they were not part of the submitted appeal application. The appeal has been determined on this basis.
4. At the time of the site visit, part of the original rear elevation had been demolished. Extensions of varying scales and designs have been erected both to the rear elevations and the roofs of other semi-detached dwellings fronting Whitchurch Lane. Few of these dwellings were observed to have catslide style roofs of the type which previously existed at the property prior to the demolition works.
5. The streetscene along Whitchurch Lane is characterised by predominantly semi-detached dwellings which are set back from the footways to the rear of landscaped front gardens also used for parking. Although some side extensions have been erected, there are generally wide gaps at first floor level between each pair of semi-detached dwellings which, along the north side of the road, provide views through to the trees within Cannons Park. Along the

- road there are grass verges with street trees. Overall, the streetscene has a spacious and verdant character and appearance.
6. Part of the proposed development includes the erection of a 2-storey side extension which would be set well back from the front elevation of the property. The set back would not be as far as the 2-storey rear addition at 205 Whitchurch Lane. This neighbouring addition is visible from the road through the existing gap between the appeal property and No. 205. Although narrowed, this gap still enables views towards the trees within Cannons Park.
 7. By reason of siting, there would not be an obvious terracing effect created by the proposed single storey and 2-storey side extensions. However, the 2-storey side extension would result in there being no gap between the resulting property and No. 205. The lack of a gap would preclude views towards Cannons Park and this would have a detrimental effect on the spacious and verdant streetscene. Accordingly, the appeal scheme would detract from the positive attributes and local distinctiveness of this suburban area and would cause unacceptable harm to the character and appearance of the streetscene.
 8. The Council has raised concerns about the design of the proposed alterations to the rear of the property. However, the design of the proposed 2-storey extension would echo the appearance of the original catslide roof and would also reflect the gable roof form of the main property. Further, there would not be an awkward visual and physical juxtaposition between the roof of the proposed extension. For these reasons, this element of the appeal scheme would respect the character and appearance of the appeal property. However, this matter does not outweigh the unacceptable harm to the streetscene which has already been identified.
 9. For the reasons given, it is concluded that the proposed development would cause unacceptable harm to the character and appearance of the streetscene and, as such, it would be contrary to Policy D3 of the London Plan, Policy CS1.B of the Harrow Core Strategy and Policy DM1 of the Harrow Development Management Policies. Amongst other matters, these policies promote high quality design which respects and reflects the positive attributes and local distinctiveness of the local area.
 10. The comments of the neighbouring occupiers have been carefully noted but they neither alter this appeal's main issue nor lead to different assessment from the Council that their living conditions would be maintained. Accordingly, it is concluded that this appeal should be dismissed.

D J Barnes

INSPECTOR