



---

# Appeal Decision

by **Ken McEntee**

a person appointed by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government

Decision date: 30 July 2024

---

## **Appeal refs: APP/N5090/C/24/3339734 & APP/N5090/C/24/3339735 Land at 64 Exeter Road, London, N14 5JS**

- The appeals are made under section 174 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compensation Act 1991.
- The appeals are brought by Mr Anastasis Soleas against enforcement notices issued by the London Borough of Barnet.
- The notices were issued on 23 January 2024.
- The breach of planning control as alleged in the notice 0044/24 is: "Without planning permission, the erection of a marquee in the rear garden". The breach planning control alleged in notice 1528/23 is: "Without planning permission, the construction of a single storey open sided rear extension."
- The requirements of notice 0044/24 are: 1. Permanently remove the marquee from the property. 2. Permanently remove all constituent materials resulting from works in 1. above from the property". The requirements of notice 1528/23 are: 1. Demolish the single storey open sided rear extension. 2. Permanently remove all constituent materials resulting from the works in 1. above from the property".
- The time period for compliance with both notices is: "2 months after this notice takes effect".
- The appeals are proceeding on the ground set out in section 174(2)(g) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

**Summary of decision: The appeals are dismissed and the enforcement notices are upheld without variation.**

---

### **Reasons for the decision**

1. The appellant requests that the period for compliance with the notices be extended to 9 months. However, he has not explained why he considers such a period to be necessary. I am also mindful that some 5 months have elapsed since the appeals were submitted with enforcement action effectively suspended. Therefore, as the compliance period will begin again from the date of this decision, the appellant will effectively have had some 7 months in which to carry out the necessary works. There is no good reason before me to justify extending the compliance period further. The ground (g) appeals fails accordingly.

### **Formal decision**

2. For the reasons given above, the appeals are dismissed and the enforcement notices are upheld without variation.

*K McEntee*